

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/826,372	04/19/2004	Olivier J-F Cazenave	84642	9734	
20736	7590 05/04/2005		EXAMINER		
MANELLI DENISON & SELTER 2000 M STREET NW SUITE 700			RODRIGUEZ, WILLIAM H		
	ON, DC 20036-3307		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			. 3746	3746	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SP				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/826,372	CAZENAVE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William H. Rodriguez	3746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 March 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/274,046. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the non-responsive amendment filed on 3/28/05. Applicant has failed to properly respond or to respond at all to the following rejection/objections. Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification fails to specify what a fluid system(s) is? (i.e., provide examples of possible fluid systems). The specification several times recites the phrase "fluid system(s)". However, nowhere in the specification applicant specifies what elements comprise a fluid system(s) or what a fluid system is? (i.e., a fuel system, an oil system, etc). No new matter should be entered. Examiner suggests amending the specification to provide some examples of what applicant is calling "fluid systems". Appropriate correction is required.

In the reply filed on 3/28/05 applicant states that the fluid systems may consist of one or more oil, gas or fuel pipes. Examiner suggests amending the specification to include these examples to clarify what applicant is calling "fluid systems".

No reply at all was provided for the following 35 USC 112 rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,372

Art Unit: 3746

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "wherein the fluid systems" in line 3. It is unclear if this

recitation refers to the fluid systems of the core engine or to the fluid systems of the fan case

region. Examiner suggests rewriting the limitation "fluid systems" in a way that distinguishes

the fluid systems of the core engine from the fluid systems of the fan case region (i.e., a first

fluid system, a second fluid system, etc). No new matter should be entered. Appropriate

correction is required.

5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the fluid systems are connected" in line 3. It is unclear to

what element(s) the fluid systems (either the core or fan ones) or fluid systems (both of them) are

connected to (i.e., connected to each other or connected to something else). Appropriate

correction is required.

Wherein the fluid systems (which one) are connected to ????.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s)

under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/826,372

Art Unit: 3746

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to William H. Rodriguez whose telephone number is 571-272-4831.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy S Thorpe can be reached on 571-272-4444. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William H. Rodriguez

Examiner

Art Unit 3746